



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/394,521	09/10/1999	NAOYUKI MATSUMOTO	35.G0708C/D2	4346

5514

7590

04/26/2002

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 EXAMINER

NGUYEN, MADELEINE ANH VINH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2622

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		SX.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/394,521	MATSUMOTO, NAOYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Madeleine AV Nguyen	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a repi ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 January 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-31</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in a	reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/394,521

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to amendment receives on January 28, 2002.

Applicant amends claims 25 and 29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kita et al (US Patent No. 5,021,892) in view of Shimotono (US Patent No. 4,964,154).

Concerning claims 25, 26, Kita discloses a data communication apparatus in a data processing system (Fig.3) that includes the data communication apparatus (1) and a host computer (8) connected to the data communication apparatus by an interface (PRL I/F), comprising a communication step of communicating with a communication partner through a network (4a); a notification step of notifying the host computer of information regarding the communication partner; a setting step of setting one of an on-line mode, to be operated based on a command from the host computer, and an off-line mode, to be operated even without a command from the host computer; the notification step notifies the host computer in a case where the on-line mode is set in the setting step (col. 2, lines 22-59; col. 3, lines 28-68; col. 6,

Application/Control Number: 09/394,521

Art Unit: 2622

lines 20 - col. 8, line 48; col. 9, line 26 - col. 10, line 14; col. 15, line 42 - col. 16, line 68; col. 21, line 60 - col. 22, line 13).

Kita does not directly mention the communication step of communicating protocol information and document information with a communication partner. However, it was commonly known in the art that in order to have a communication between 2 communication devices, the 2 communication devices have to exchange communication protocol information and document information. Shimotono supports that well known in the art by teaching a communication device for use in facsimile device combined with computer which connects a facsimile devices with each other wherein the communication device 2 communicate with a remote facsimile side 5 and notifies the host computer 3 regarding the communication partner 5 based on protocol information received in the communication step through interface (Figs.4-6, 8; col. 6, line 64 – col. 9, line 63; col. 12, line 36 – col. 13, line 30; col. 14, lines 24-45). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the communication between a communication step in Kita since Kita also teaches the communication between a communication device 1, a remote facsimile device and a computer 8.

Concerning claims 27-28, 31, Kita further teaches that the notification step notifies the host computer in accordance with a command from the host computer; and the data communication apparatus is included in a facsimile apparatus (4).

Concerning claims 29-30, Kita discloses a method of controlling a data processing apparatus in a data processing system having the data processing apparatus and a host computer comprising an instruction reception step and notification step as discussed in claim 25 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/394,521

Art Unit: 2622

Kita fails to teach that the notification step notifies the host computer of information about a model type and a model version of the data processing apparatus. Shimotono teaches the communication between a remote facsimile device 5, a communication device 2 and computer 3 (Figs.4-6, 8) wherein the communication device 2 notifies the computer 3 of information about the device of the communication device 2 (col. 6, line 64 – col. 9, line 63; col. 12, line 36 – col. 13, line 30; col. 14, lines 24-45). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the communication between a communication device 2, a remote facsimile device 5 and a computer 3 in Shimotono to the communication step in Kita since Kita also teaches the communication between a communication device 1, a remote facsimile device and a computer 8.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Koshiishi (US Patent No. 4,652,933) discloses an image formation processing system with a combination of a facsimile apparatus and a personal computer.
 - b. Ina (US Patent No. 4,786,974) teaches an image formation processing system for image formation inside the system or information transfer to or from an external system.
 - c. Lin (US Patent No. 4,991,200) recites interface device for the intercommunication of a computer and a fax machine.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.



Art Unit: 2622

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Madeleine AV Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 305-4860. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 703 305-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/394,521

Art Unit: 2622

Anhumh Nguyen

April 19, 2002

Madeleine AV Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622